



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO).	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/939,439 08/24/2001		08/24/2001	Robin Levonas	060705-1830	2762
24504	7590	06/21/2005		EXAMINER	
	•	DEN, HORSTEMEY	PHAM, TUAN		
100 GALLERIA PARKWAY, NW STE 1750			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ATLANTA, GA 30339-5948				2643	
				DATE MAILED: 06/21/200	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·····		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	0.65	09/939,439	LEVONAS ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		TUAN A. PHAM	2643				
Period for F	The MAILING DATE of this communication a Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address				
THE MA - Extension after SIX - If the per - If NO per - Failure to Any reply	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF ILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION as of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, od for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state received by the Office later than three months after the material term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be eply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fiute, cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered timely. rom the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠ Re	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 March 2005.						
2a) <u></u> ⊤h	☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition	of Claims						
4a) 5)⊠ CI 6)⊠ CI 7)□ CI	Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) <u>1,3 and 5-10</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>17-20</u> is/are rejected.						
Application	Papers						
9)∐ Th	e specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.	·				
10)∐ Th	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	placement drawing sheet(s) including the corre e oath or declaration is objected to by the	, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -,	•				
Priority und	ler 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	_ ' '	ents have been received. ents have been received in Applic riority documents have been rece eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)							
	References Cited (PTO-892) Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Mai					
3) Informati	on Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 o(s)/Mail Date		al Patent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments, see Applicant's remark, filed on 03/10/2005, with respect to the rejection(s)of claim(s) 1-17 under 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Betts et al. (U.S. Patent No.: 6,157,680).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to

Art Unit: 2643

consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 17- 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chen (U.S. Patent No.: 6,256,383) in view of Betts et al. (U.S. Patent No.: 6,157,680, hereinafter, "Betts").

Regarding claim 17; Chen teaches a digital signal transceiver (i.e. automatic balance system), comprising:

a transmitter configured to receive a locally generated transmit signal (see figure 1, transmit signal (y));

a hybrid electrically coupled to the transmitter configured to receive and transmit signal to a two-wire transmission line, the hybrid further configured to receive a remotely generated receive signal along the two-wire transmission line (see figure 1, 2 to 4 wire hybrid 24);

a receiver configured to process the remotely generated receive signal (see figure 1, receive signal (x)); and

An echo canceller disposed in parallel between the transmitter and the receiver configured to reduce both short-term echo components and long-tail echo components of the locally generated transmit signal (see figure 1, FIR filter, col.3, In.10-32, col.7, In.5-50, it is obvious that the FIR filter is reducing the short term echo and the IIR filter is reducing the long-tail echo for using dual filter in echo canceller system), wherein the echo canceller calculates coefficient values for less than N taps while emulating an N tap digital filter (see col.3, In.10-43).

Application/Control Number: 09/939,439

Art Unit: 2643

It should be noticed that, Chen fails to teach the hybrid included the transformer for inductively couple to the transmit signal. However, Betts teaches such feature (see figure 4, hybrid transformer 136).

Page 4

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Betts into view of Chen in order to connected remote device to central office.

Regarding claim 18, Chen further teaches the transceiver wherein the echo canceller comprises a bifurcated digital filter that adaptively calculates and applies tap coefficients to each of a plurality of filter taps in a first stage and adaptively calculates and applies a subset of tap coefficient values to a plurality of filter taps in a second stage (see figure 1, FIR filter 32, IIR filter 40, col.7, ln.15-67).

Regarding claim 19, Chen further teaches the transceiver wherein the digital filter adaptively calculates a tap coefficient value for a first tap of the second stage and every k th tap thereafter (see col.7, In.3-50).

Regarding claim 20, Chen further teaches the transceiver wherein the digital filter interpolates the calculated tap coefficient values for the second stage to identify coefficient values to apply at taps disposed between taps associated with a calculated tap coefficient (see col.2, In.28-35, col.7, In.3-50).

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 1, 3, 5-10 are allowed.

Art Unit: 2643

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Tuan A. Pham** whose telephone number is (571) 272-8097. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:00 AM-5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Curtis Kuntz can be reached on (571) 272-7499 and

IF PAPER HAS BEEN MISSED FROM THIS OFFICIAL ACTION PACKAGE, PLEASE CALL Customer Service at (571) 272-2600 FOR THE SUBSTITUTIONS OR COPIES.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have question on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit 2643 June 14, 2005 Examiner

Tuan Pham

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TO HMOLOGY CENTER 2600